| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|--|---|---|
|  | 10/517,650  | WEILAND, ALEXANDER  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|  | Dennis H. Pedder  | 3612  |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:  |   |
| (1) <u>Dennis H. Pedder</u> .  | (3)   |   |
| (2) Mr. Edwards.   | (4)   |   |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2007</u>   | Time:   |   |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | cant's representative)  |   |
| Part I.  |   |   |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |   |   |
| Claims discussed: 12, 17, 18  Prior art documents discussed:   |   |   |
| Part II.   | *   |   |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN  | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W   | AS DISCUSSED:   |
| Amend claim 12 to conform to disclosure, avoiding conditional respectively. Amend the specification and drawings to avoid a  |   | not limiting claims 7 and 9,                                      |
| Part III.  |   |   |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul> | he examiner will provide a we<br>record of the substance of t | ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview |
|  | •   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica  | nt/Applicant's Representative                                 | Signature – if appropriate)                                       |